

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

July 23, 2014

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7011 2970 0001 8828 2464

John Wilkinson Wilkinson Construction Co. Inc. 1200 East 100 South Morgan, Utah 84050

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Cessation Order No.MC-2014-60-01, Wilkinson

Construction Co. Dugout Canyon Gravel Pit, S/047/0112, Uintah County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Wilkinson:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under rule R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order. The cessation order was issued by Division inspector April Abate on March 24, 2014. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$1,100.00. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this cessation order) has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under rule R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available. You may appeal the fact of the violation, i.e. whether a violation occurred, the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.



Page 2 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand, the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment (by August 22, 2014). Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Sincerely,

Lynn Kunzler Assessment Officer

LK: eb

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet cc: Sheri Sasaki, Accounting Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.

P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M047-Uintah\S0470112-DugoutCanyonGravelPit\non-compliance\proassess-5992-04112014.doc

Page 3 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

COM ASSE	PANY SSME	/ MINE NT DAT	014-60-02 Wilkinson Construct TE April 11, 2014 TICER Lynn Kunzle			S/047/0012 yon Gravel Pit			
I.	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647–7-103.2.11) A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall three (3) years of today's date?								
	PREV None		VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE	DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO)			
					TOTAL H	ISTORY POINTS 0			
II.	SER	NOTE: 1. 2.	ESS (Max 45pts) (R647–7-103.2.12) For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply: Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector=s and operator=s statements as guiding documents. s an EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) violation? Event (assign points according to A or B)						
	A.	EVEN 1.		hich the violated operations with	out proper pe	as designed to prevent? ermits, Injury to Public,			
		2.	What is the probabi standard was design PROBABIL None Unlikely Likely Occurred	ed to prevent?	POINT RA 0 1-9 10-19 20	event which a violated ANGE			
			ASSIGN PI	ROBABILITY	OF OCCUR	RENCE POINTS 20			

Page 4 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: <u>Inspector indicated that mining activity has occurred prior to reclamation surety being provided. Points were assigned accordingly.</u>

Page 5 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage: <u>See comments under the 'Explanation of Points' below.</u>

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS (RANGE 0-25) 5

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: Operator had began operations prior to submitting the surety, disturbing about ½ of the area being permitted. So while ground was disturbed, damage is considered minimal since the Division had the opportunity to review the plan. The Plan had been determined to be complete, but was awaiting submittal of the bond for final approval. Points were assigned at the lower 1/5 of the range.

B. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts)

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS ____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: _

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 25

III. <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

A. IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE. Point Range No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?)

Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care?)

Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to abate any violation or was economic gain realized by the permittee?

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Neglegent

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 5

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: <u>Inspector indicated that this was likely oversite on the part of the operator for providing the surety and contract. Points assigned at the lower 1/3 of range.</u>

Page 6 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures, or violations not abated at the time of assessment)

Has Violation Been Abated? No The operator has only provided a portion of the required surety, not the full amount. He was also given the opportunity to amend his Notice to a reduced acreage so that the surety submitted would be adequate – he has not responded to this option. Therefore, Good faith points are not warranted.

A. EASY ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area.)

	Point Range
Immediate Compliance	-11 to -20
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)	
Rapid Compliance	-1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	

B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)

P '10 1'	Point Range -11 to -20
Rapid Compliance	-11 10 -20
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	
Violation abated in less time than allotted.)	
Normal Compliance	-1 to -10
(Operator complied within the abatement period)	
Extended Compliance	0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required,	
or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)	
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay	
within the limits of the violation, or the plan submitted	
for abatement was incomplete.)	

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS ____0_

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	25
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	5
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	30

Page 7 of 7 John Wilkinson S/047/0112 July 23, 2014

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE

\$ 1,100.00